

Appendix F:

Public Services/Utilities Correspondence



FULLERTON JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

1051 West Bastanchury Road • Fullerton, California 92833-2247

(714)870-2810 FAX (714)870-2835 www.fjuhsd.k12.ca.us Business Services

July 20, 2011

SCHOOL FACILITIES QUESTIONNAIRE

FULLERTON GENERAL PLAN UPDATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

- 1. What has been done in the past to accommodate growth (i.e. conversion of traditional to year-round facilities, school expansions etc.) and what are the deficiencies or overcrowding issues if any?
 - A. A growth building was added to every school in the District between 2003-2006.

 A new campus was built for the Alternative and Continuation campuses
- Are there any plans for facility expansion or new facilities, please provide as much detail as possible. Where does the District acquire funding for new facilities?
 - A. The District is currently building an Engineering Building at Sunny Hills High School for current growth. There are insufficient funds available to support additional growth. The District needs to perform a needs assessment and make a long-term facilities plan.
- 3. Are fees assessed against new developments for school-related services? If so, in what amount?
 - A. Yes, as of July 1, 2011.
 \$.99 residential + \$1.98/foot for Fullerton Elementary
 \$.16 commercial + \$.31/foot for Fullerton Elementary
- 4. What are the generation rates used by the district to predict future population growth?

A. Single family: .205 Retail: .00195
Multi family: .182 Wholesale: .00125
Mobile homes: .084 Manufacturing: .00154
Government: .00455
Services: .00385

Excellence in Education Since 1893
SERVING BUENA PARK, FULLERTON, LA HABRA, & LOWELL JOINT SCHOOL DISTRICTS

July 20, 2011 Page 2

- 5. Does the District anticipate being able to accommodate for the population that may be generated by the proposed Fullerton General Plan Update?
 - A. No.

Current estimate:

2088 enrollment increase, due to only City of Fullerton

2011/12 capacity:

aller Patterson

14,679

2011/12 enrollment estimate: 14,609

- 6. Please provide any additional comments that would pertain to impacts associated with the Fullerton General Plan Update.
 - Due to the Open Enrollment Policy, students from the Fullerton community may attend any school in the District and, vice versa. For this reason, the school capacity would need to be shared with students in all of the communities in the District, particularly La Habra and Buena Park.

Sincerely,

Colleen Patterson

Assistant Superintendent

Business Services

CP/lh

SCHOOL FACILITIES QUESTIONNAIRE

FULLERTON GENERAL PLAN UPDATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Please respond to the following questions on agency letterhead. In your response, provide as much information as possible (necessary to evaluate potential impacts).

1. What has been done in the past to accommodate growth (i.e. conversion of traditional to year-round facilities, school expansions etc.) and what are the deficiencies or overcrowding issues if any?

School Expansion and or addition.

2. Are there any plans for facility expansion or new facilities, please provide as much detail as possible. Where does the District acquire funding for new facilities?

There are no current plans for expansion. New funding comes from either developer fees, agreements with developers, some state funding.

3. Are fees assessed against new developments for school–related services? If so, in what amount?

City has current developer fee schedule.

4. What are the generation rates used by the district to predict future population growth?

The question is unclear.

5. Does the District anticipate being able to accommodate for the population that may be generated by the proposed Fullerton General Plan Update?

Limited growth information provided. More detailed growth data necessary for accurate projections, however, it appears as if current facilities will not accommodate future growth.

6. Please provide any additional comments that would pertain to impacts associated with the Fullerton General Plan Update.

None at this time.

Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District

1301 E. Orangethorpe Avenue, Placentia, California 92870 Telephone (714) 996-2550 Fax (714) 524-3034 Dennis M. Smith, Ed.D. Superintendent

Board of Education
Cary Buck
Judy Carmona
Carol Downey
Karin Freeman
Eric Padget

August 15, 2011

<u>Sent Via E-Mail (pdf) to sbarker@rbf.com</u> Hard Copy to follow in U.S. Mail

RBF Consulting 14725 Alton Parkway Irvine, CA 92618-2027

Attention: Starla Barker, AICP / Planning/Environmental Services

Re: Response to The Fullerton Plan 2030 (Fullerton General Plan Update)

Dear Ms. Barker,

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the questionnaire regarding the general plan update your firm is assisting with for the City of Fullerton. I have transferred your stated questions to this letterhead along with the appropriate responses associated with each question.

Because the timing and details pertaining to any specific future projects is not available at this early date, you will find the responses somewhat generic but I believe sufficient for your document at this time. The questions and responses are as follows:

SCHOOL FACILITIES QUESTIONNAIRE

FULLERTON GENERAL PLAN UPDATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Please respond to the following questions on agency letterhead. In your response, provide as much information as possible (necessary to evaluate potential impacts).

- 1. What has been done in the past to accommodate growth (i.e. conversion of traditional to year-round facilities, school expansions etc.) and what are the deficiencies or overcrowding issues if any?
- In addition to the collection of statutorily required developer fees, growth in student population has been accommodated on a project by project basis. This has sometimes involved mitigation agreements as well as establishment of CFD's. PYLUSD maintains a traditional school year calendar.
- There are no major deficiencies or overcrowding issues at this time.

Serving students in the communities of Placentia, Yorba Linda, Anaheim, Brea and Fullerton

- 2. Are there any plans for facility expansion or new facilities, please provide as much detail as possible. Where does the District acquire funding for new facilities?
- There are no current plans for facility expansion or new facilities.
- The District acquires funds through collection of statutorily required developer fees as well on occasion the establishment of a mitigation agreement or a CFD.
- 3. Are fees assessed against new developments for school-related services? If so, in what amount?
- Yes.
- Prevailing statutorily required developer fees, presently:
 - \$2.97 per square foot for residential development
 - \$0.47 per square foot for commercial development
- Other fees as may be required as a result of a specific project
- 4. What are the generation rates used by the district to predict future population growth?

School Level	Single Fam Detached	Multi Fam Attache
Elementary	0.2337	0.1788
Middle	0.1402	0.1017
High	0.1986	0.1154
Total	0.5725	0.3959

- 5. Does the District anticipate being able to accommodate for the population that may be generated by the proposed Fullerton General Plan Update?
- Based on Table 1 Focus Area Projected Land Use Changes and Exhibit 1 Focus Area Map, only two of the Focus Areas lie within the boundaries of the Placentia-Yorba Linda USD; Focus Area J – Education and Focus Area K – Southeast Industrial.
 - Focus Area K Southeast Industrial, forecasts 201 residential units.
 Student Generation could range from 80 to 115 grades K thru 12.
 It appears that the District will be able to accommodate the growth in student population from Focus Area K.
 - Focus Area J Education, forecasts 1,234 residential units.

 Student Generation could range from 489 to 706 grades K thru 12.

 The western boundary of the PYLUSD bisects Focus Area J (57 Frwy). Without knowing the precise location and timing of future residential development, it is not possible to accurately assess the impact on District facilities and/or programs. If the preponderance of development was to be east of the 57 freeway and occurred within a relatively short period of time (i.e. 5 years or less), a significant impact may occur on existing facilities and/or programs. Mitigation beyond the required statutory developer fees may be sought. It is requested that as more precise development information becomes available, the PYLUSD be notified at the earliest time possible so that a more detailed assessment may be made. It is possible that the PYLUSD may not be able to accommodate the student growth from Focus Area J.

6. Please provide any additional comments that would pertain to impacts associated with the Fullerton General Plan Update.

It is requested that as more precise development information becomes available, the PYLUSD be notified at the earliest time possible so that a more detailed assessment may be made.

Please Contact: Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District

Maintenance & Facilities Department 1301 East Orangethorpe Avenue

Placentia, CA 92870 (714) 985-8434

Should you have any further questions or require any additional information, please contact us as noted in response number 6 above.

Thank you for the opportunity to assist.

Respectfully yours,

Mike Bailey Maintenance & Facilities Dept. mbailey@pylusd.org

c: Doug Domene, Asst. Supt. Business Services Rick Guaderrama, Director of Maintenance & Facilities

FIRE PROTECTION SERVICES QUESTIONNAIRE

FULLERTON GENERAL PLAN UPDATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Please respond to the following questions providing as much information as possible

	sary to evaluate potential impacts).
1.	Please indicate any assessment fees required for new developments.
	The Fire Department has no assessment fees at this time.
2.	Do you anticipate that required fees and taxes provided by new developments associated with the Fullerton General Plan Update will adequately mitigate the expected increase in fire and emergency medical service demand?
	Unkown
3.	Do you have any required or recommended mitigation measures for significant impacts?
	No
4.	Please indicate the present ISO rates throughout the city and any fire hazard impacts. Do you anticipate the ISO rating will remain the same with the implementation of the Fullerton General Plan Update?
	Current ISO rating is Class II $-$ we do not anticipate that the rating will change with the General Plan Update.
5.	Do you anticipate that implementation of the Fullerton General Plan Update would result in the need for physical additions to your agency (i.e., construction of new fire stations)?
	Yes
6.	Is there any other relevant information regarding potential significant impacts?

No

7.	Please include any additional information you feel is pertinent to the Environmental Impact Report analysis for the Fullerton General Plan Update.

WASTEWATER SERVICE QUESTIONNAIRE

FULLERTON GENERAL PLAN UPDATE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Please respond to the following questions. In your response, provide as much information as possible (necessary to evaluate potential impacts).

1. Besides the improvements identified in the Fullerton Sewer Master Plan (August 2009), are there any additional local and/or regional trunk/sewer lines near carrying capacity or identified for improvements?

We are upsizing a 12" line on Commonwealth from Highland to Short to 15". Please contact OCSD for regional projects.

2. Are there any new facilities or expansion of existing facilities planned that would serve the city?

Not to my knowledge.

3. What are the estimated sewage flows or generation rates (based on land uses) for the Fullerton General Plan Update?

This is more of a Building and Planning Dept. question. However, we used 75 gal/cap/d and 25/emp/d to calculate flow from the population and employment projects, respectively.

4. Would implementation of the Fullerton General Plan Update present a significant increase in service demand?

Please compare the proposed developments in the Sewer Master Plan (Figure 2-2) with the Focus Area Map. I believe only the Transportation Center and West Coyote Hills were accounted for.

5. Would existing trunk/sewer lines within the city have adequate capacity to accommodate the estimated wastewater flows associated with the Fullerton General Plan Update based on the information provided?

I cannot answer this question. What needs to happen is that we'll need to have our Sewer Master Plan consultant, RMC, the keeper of our sewer model, plug in the proposed developments and run the model to verify the existing system's capacity. Please let me know if you want this to be done and I'll put you in touch with RMC.

6. Do the wastewater treatment facilities have adequate capacity to serve the anticipated demands of the Fullerton General Plan Update in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

The City does not have wastewater treatment facilities. This is a question for OCSD.

7. Please identify any connection or assessment fees required for new developments?

Building Dept. collects fees, so I don't have any knowledge on this subject.

8. Is there any addition information you feel is pertinent to the Environmental Impact Report analysis for the Fullerton General Plan Update?

Please check with the Planning Dept.